4

1002

1

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR.

WRIT PETITION NO. 4010 OF 2006

PETITIONER : Madan Singh, aged about 48 years, S/o Shri Rameshwar Singh, Occupation Forest Ranger, Forest Range Sahaspur Lohara, Division Kawardha , District Kabirdham (C.G.)

P.R. No. 4023/06 Presented by Shri Rakesh Pandey dated 22/7/06

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

1. State of Chhattisgarh, Through: The Secretary, Forest Department, D.K.S. Bhawan Raipur (C.G.)
2. M.L. Sidar, Forest Ranger, Forest Range Sahaspur Lohara, Division Kawardha , District Kabirdham (C.G.)

COMMISSIONER ... Bilaspur Chhattisgarh 28/07/06

RE... COPY ... CLERK TO A.G. BILASPUR 26/7/06

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF AN APPROPRIATE WRIT IN THE NATURE OF MANDAMUS/CERTIORARI ETC. OR DIRECTION/ORDER IN THE LIKE NATURE ETC.

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक WP 4010/2006 **सन्** **200**

..... **विरुद्ध** .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	08-08-2006 Shri Rajesh Pandey, counsel for the petitioner. Shri Sumesh Bajaj, Govt. Advocate for the State/ respondent No.1, on advance copy. Heard. By the instant writ petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, the petitioner has challenged his transfer order dated 25.07.2006 (Annexure-P/1), passed by respondent No.1 whereby the transfer of the petitioner to Sahaspur Lohara, dated 01.07.2006 has been cancelled. The case of the petitioner is that first he was transferred vide Annexure-P/2, dated 24.06.2006 from Janakpur to Khairagarh, before that order could be implemented, he was transferred vide order dated 01.07.2006 (Annexure-P/3) from Baikunthpur to Sahaspur Lohara, Kawardha and in pursuance of that order he joined at Sahaspur Lohara. Thereafter, again that transfer has been cancelled vide the impugned order whereas the petitioner has already joined at the transferred place, as such once the transfer order is executed that cannot be cancelled. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in the circumstances the petitioner may be allowed to make a detailed representation to respondent No.1 highlighting the above anomalies and respondent No.1 be directed to decide the same to which learned counsel appearing for the State/ respondent No.1 has no objection. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, the prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner appears to be just, fair and reasonable. Therefore, the petitioner is allowed to make a detailed representation to	

(पीछे देखिये)

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक ....WP(C)/1010/2006.... **सन्** **200**

..... **विरुद्ध** .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	respondent No.1 raising all his grievances highlighting the above anomalies, annexing a copy of the instant writ petition within a period of ten days from today and in turn, respondent No.1is directed to decide the same within a period of one month from the date of its receipt, considering all the grievances raised by the petitioner in an objective manner, in accordance with law. In the meantime, till the representation of the petitioner is decided, the effect and operation of the impugned order in respect of the petitioner shall remain stayed. With the aforesaid directions, the writ petition stands disposed of. Consequently, M.(W.). P. No.3119/2006 also stands disposed of. Parties are entitled for certified copy of this order. Sd/- L.C. Bhadoo Judge	

Soma

(पीछे देखिये)

11.8.07
18.8.07